Appl. No. : 09/827,030 Filed : April 5, 2001

REMARKS

The June 18, 2004 Office Action was based upon pending Claims 1-66. This Amendment amends Claims 1, 10, 13, 22, 25, 34, 37, 40, 47, 50, 57, and 60. Thus, after entry of this Amendment, Claims 1-66 are pending and presented for further consideration.

In the June 18, 2004 Office Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1-66 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,374,402 to Schmeidler et al., (the "Schmeidler patent").

Reconsideration of the pending claims, as amended, is therefore respectfully requested.

Rejection of Claims 1-66 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The Examiner rejected Claims 1-66 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,374,402 to Schmeidler et al., ("the Schmeidler patent").

Applicant's invention persistently stores information about a streamed application after termination of the streamed application. The Schmeidler patent, in contrast, does not store information about the streamed application upon termination of the streamed application. Column 15, lines 43-61 of the Schmeidler patent states:

In accordance with the present invention, the title is never really "installed" on the SCDP client host system. The SCDP client software creates an installation abstraction, maintaining the illusion for the local operating system that the title currently executing is installed on the host computer. Thus, when execution of the title is terminated, there is no remaining evidence the title ran on the host client system. No files associated with the title are left on the host system hard-drive, and no operating system state information e.g., registry variables associated with the title, remain. The SCDP client system state after the title exits or the system crashes is the same as before, except, possibly, for operations performed by other applications, persistent state, and changes made by the user of the application e.g., saved documents or data. The installation abstraction is achieved with a method of loading the expected application state. before running the application, in such a way that the state can be unloaded when the application exits without affecting persistent parameters. (Emphasis added.)

Appl. No.

09/827,030

Filed

April 5, 2001

Claims 1, 13, 25, 37, 47 and 57

As discussed in the interview, independent Claim 1 was amended to clarify that information about the streamed application is stored in the client system after termination of the streamed application. In addition, independent Claims 13, 25, 37, 47 and 57 have been amended to clarify that information about the streamed application is stored in the client system after termination of the streamed application. Applicant therefore respectfully submits that independent Claims 1, 13, 25, 37, 47 and 57 are patentably distinguished over the cited references and Applicant respectfully requests allowance of Claims 1, 13, 25, 37, 47 and 57.

Claims 2-12, 14-24, 26-36, 38-46, 48-56 and 58-66.

Dependent Claims 2-12, 14-24, 26-36, 38-46, 48-56 and 58-66 which depend from independent Claims 1, 13, 25, 37, 47 and 57 are believed to be patentable for the same reasons articulated above with respect to Claim 1, and because of the additional features recited therein. In addition, dependent Claims 10, 22, 34, 40, 50 and 60 have been amended to provide correct antecedent basis.

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Submitted concurrently herewith is a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement and form PTO-1449 citing a number of new references which have come to Applicant's attention. In addition, Applicant has identified a number of related pending applications. While the Applicant does not believe that these references will affect the patentability of the pending claims, Applicant respectfully requests the Examiner to consider the pending claims in connection with these references in order to make them of record.

Conclusion

Applicants have endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the outstanding Office Action. In light of the above remarks, reconsideration and withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is specifically requested. Applicant therefore respectfully requests allowance of pending Claims 1-66.

Appl. No.

09/827,030

Filed

April 5, 2001

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: /0/18/04

H:\DOC\$\JRK\JRK-8858.DOC

092404

By:

John R. King

Registration No. 34,362

Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(949) 760-0404